This record relates to Agenda Item 50

# RECORD OF ADULT CARE & HEALTH COMMITTEE DECISION

**SUBJECT:** FINANCE REPORT AT TBM7

**AUTHOR:** ANNE SILLEY, MICHAEL SCHOFIELD

### THE DECISION

- (1) That the financial position for the 2013/14 financial year as reported at TBM7 (October 2013) be noted.
- (2) That the 2014/15 budget strategies for the health and social care arrangements set out for development and agreement by Budget Council and the CCG Governing Body be noted.

#### **REASON FOR THE DECISION**

#### **DETAILS OF ANY ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS**

(1) The budget process allows engagement in the proposals.

**Proper Officer:** 

Date: 22 September 2014 Mark Wall, Head of Democratic Services

Signed:

# **CALL-IN FOR SCRUTINY**

- (i) any requirement for earlier implementation of the decision or,
- (ii) the decision being called in for review by 5 Members from two or more Groups represented on the Council.

This record relates to Agenda Item 51

# RECORD OF ADULT CARE & HEALTH COMMITTEE DECISION

SUBJECT: COMMUNITY SHORT TERM SERVICES

- AN UPDATE

AUTHOR: GILL BROOKS

### THE DECISION

- (1) That the proposal to withdraw from providing Independence at Home services at New Larchwood is not agreed.
- (2) That approval be given for the proposals for Independence at Home to concentrate on providing short-term reablement services, with the exception of those services provided at New Larchwood.
- (3) That the general update on Community Short Term Services be noted.

### **REASON FOR THE DECISION**

# **DETAILS OF ANY ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS**

(1) Considerable work had been undertaken by health & social care professionals to redesign services to make them more accessible to individuals, and to reduce handoffs and duplication.

### **Proper Officer:**

Date: 22 September 2014 Mark Wall, Head of Democratic Services

Signed:

#### **CALL-IN FOR SCRUTINY**

- (iii) any requirement for earlier implementation of the decision or,
- (iv) the decision being called in for review by 5 Members from two or more Groups represented on the Council.

This record relates to Agenda Item 52

# RECORD OF ADULT CARE & HEALTH COMMITTEE DECISION

SUBJECT: INTEGRATED COMMUNITY

**EQUIPMENT SERVICE** 

AUTHOR: ANNE RICHARDSON-LOCKE

#### THE DECISION

- (1) That It be noted that B&HCC and the CCG will be named in the OJEU contract notice published by WSCC as an authority that may utilise the contractual arrangements that WSCC will put in place, during the life of the contract; and that whilst this provides an opportunity to benefit from the procurement process run by WSCC, this does not mean a commitment on the part of B&HCC or the CCG to purchase any particular services.
- (2) That it be agreed that Commissioners continue to work closely with SCT to enable B&HCC and the CCG to measure their current performance against the targets in the service specification and also to identify accurate unit costs and the costs of an alternative building, as set out in section 4 of the report.
- (3) That a report updating members be submitted to the next meeting of the Committee.

#### **REASON FOR THE DECISION**

#### **DETAILS OF ANY ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS**

- (1) As agreed by Committee Commissioners from B&HCC and the CCG are continuing to work closely with ICES to develop the requirements of the existing service specification. Discussions about the performance of this service have been taking place since 2011 and a service specification with Key Performance Indicators was produced in 2012 and refreshed in 2013. Commissioners will closely monitor performance against this specification before making a recommendation about the future of the service.
- (2) The September Committee paper reported a 30% initial recycling rate which was a figure produced by SCT as at the time 70% of the equipment that they were purchasing was new rather than recycled. SCT have also reported that in the region of 90-95% of items are recycled but on further investigation this figure relates to the recycling of items that are collected and therefore is a lower figure. More recent data indicates that the recycling levels have improved but further analysis is needed before producing accurate collection and recycling data.
- (3) B&HCC and the CCG will explore what equipment services will be required in the future .This will include talking to current and future customers and prescribers.

# **Proper Officer:**

Date: 22 September 2014 Mark Wall, Head of Democratic Services

Signed:

# **CALL-IN FOR SCRUTINY**

Note: This decision will come into force at the expiry of 5 working days from the date of the meeting at which the decision was taken subject to:

(v) any requirement for earlier implementation of the decision or,

(vi) the decision being called in for review by 5 Members from two or more Groups represented on the Council.

This record relates to Agenda Item 53

# RECORD OF ADULT CARE & HEALTH COMMITTEE DECISION

SUBJECT: COMMISSIONING GRANTS

**PROSPECTUS** 

**AUTHOR:** DEBBIE GREENING

### THE DECISION

(1) That the Adult Social Care Commissioning Prospectus funding agreement awards as detailed in Point 3.11 of the report be noted.

#### **REASON FOR THE DECISION**

### **DETAILS OF ANY ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS**

(1) Following procurement advice and the success of the previous prospectus the Prospectus approach was taken forward. This was rather than taking a traditional tending approach.

#### **Proper Officer:**

Date: 22 September 2014 Mark Wall, Head of Democratic Services

Signed:

#### **CALL-IN FOR SCRUTINY**

- (vii) any requirement for earlier implementation of the decision or,
- (viii) the decision being called in for review by 5 Members from two or more Groups represented on the Council.

This record relates to Agenda Item 54

# RECORD OF ADULT CARE & HEALTH COMMITTEE DECISION

**SUBJECT:** ADULT SOCIAL CARE CHARGING

POLICY

AUTHOR: ANGIE EMERSON

### THE DECISION

(1) That the following table of maximum charges are agreed with effect from 7<sup>th</sup> April 2014.

charges Means to	ested	2013-14	2014-15
In-house I Care	Home	£20 per hour	£20 per hour
Day Care		£25 per day	£30 per day
Max W Charge	eekly/	£900 per week	£900 per week
Fixed Charges	Rate		
Transport Charge Meals at Day		£2.50 return	£3.00 return
Centre	Day	£3.50 per meal	£3.90 per meal

Freeze **CareLink** charges for 2014/15 at: £14.50 p.month (2 key holders) £18.50 p.month (1 holder

£21.50 per month with no key holders, but with a key safe.

Continue to review these charges annually.

Additional charge for **new 'Mcare' CareLink service** – see para 3.15

Free for first month then £5 per month for current CareLink users and £12 for non CareLink users.

(2) That the Transport Policy be agreed as set out in the appendix to the report.

# **REASON FOR THE DECISION**

### **DETAILS OF ANY ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS**

- (1) The option of making no increases to care charges was considered but this would lead to a loss of income which would effectively result in a reduced budget to spend on social services.
- (2) The option of making higher increases was considered but were considered to

be difficult to justify with regard to the current level of inflation.

# **Proper Officer:**

Date: 22 September 2014 Mark Wall, Head of Democratic Services **Signed:** 

# **CALL-IN FOR SCRUTINY**

- (ix) any requirement for earlier implementation of the decision or,
- (x) the decision being called in for review by 5 Members from two or more Groups represented on the Council.

This record relates to Agenda Item 55

# RECORD OF ADULT CARE & HEALTH COMMITTEE DECISION

**SUBJECT:** FEE LEVEL FOR ADULT SOCIAL CARE

**SERVICES 2014-15** 

**AUTHOR:** JANE MACDONALD, MARK HENDRIKS

### THE DECISION

(1) That the proposed fee increases as set out in the table below be agreed.

Description of service	Recommended fee increase	
In city care homes	1% increase	
set rate where older people set rates apply		
In city care homes	2% increase	
set rate where older people mental health		
set rates apply		
In city care homes/	0% change	
Supported Living		
Non set rate		
Out of city care homes/	0% change	
Supported living		
set rate		
Shared lives carers	1% increase	
Out of city care homes	0% change	
Non set rate		
Home care	0% change	
Direct payments	0% change	
Service contracts	0% change	

#### **REASON FOR THE DECISION**

#### **DETAILS OF ANY ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS**

(1) Various options and permutations were considered. The recommendations in the report balance the council's financial position with provider need. Any provider experiencing financial difficulty is urged to contact the council.

**Proper Officer:** 

Date: 22 September 2014 Mark Wall, Head of Democratic Services

Signed:

#### **CALL-IN FOR SCRUTINY**

- (xi) any requirement for earlier implementation of the decision or,
- (xii) the decision being called in for review by 5 Members from two or more Groups represented on the Council.

This record relates to Agenda Item 56

# RECORD OF ADULT CARE & HEALTH COMMITTEE DECISION

**SUBJECT:** DAY ACTIVITIES REVIEW UPDATE

**AUTHOR:** ANNE RICHARDSON-LOCKE, NAOMI

COX

### THE DECISION

(1) That the contents of the report be noted.

- (2) That it be agreed to have a formal 12 week consultation with users of the Council's learning disability Day Options service, their family carers and key stakeholders regarding the future service as set out in the proposal in section 4 of the report.
- (3) That a report returns to Committee in June 2014 with the outcome of the consultation to enable Committee to make a decision regarding the future of the learning disability Day Options service.

### **REASON FOR THE DECISION**

#### **DETAILS OF ANY ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS**

(1) Careful consideration has been given to what the Council can offer in terms of providing more flexible personalised services, and what it can continue to provide within the given budget and the requirement to make savings. Work has been ongoing for the past year to find alternative sites for the activities at Buckingham Road, as reported to Committee but an alternative venue inhouse is not financially viable. Montague Place was considered for Our Art and Wellington House for Feast but capital funding would be required in order to relocate the projects: Feast would need £52k to create a suitable kitchen in Wellington House and Montague Place requires £156k to make it accessible. As well as additional capital funding continuing these activities would result in no savings being achieved. In addition there are good quality art and catering activities provided within the community and voluntary sector.

### **Proper Officer:**

Date: 22 September 2014 Mark Wall, Head of Democratic Services

Signed:

#### CALL-IN FOR SCRUTINY

Note: This decision will come into force at the expiry of 5 working days from the date

of the meeting at which the decision was taken subject to:

- (xiii) any requirement for earlier implementation of the decision or,
- (xiv) the decision being called in for review by 5 Members from two or more Groups represented on the Council.

This record relates to Agenda Item 57

# RECORD OF ADULT CARE & HEALTH COMMITTEE DECISION

**SUBJECT:** DEVELOPMENT OF SHARED LIVES

**AUTHOR:** DAVID PENA-CHARLON

#### THE DECISION

- (1) That it be agreed to have a 12 week consultation, with relevant stakeholders, on the intention to transfer SPFT Shared Lives to the In-House scheme.
- (2) That it be agreed that once the consultation process is completed, a further report including consultation outcomes and an Equalities Impact Assessment will be presented to Committee for a decision about the potential transfer.

#### **REASON FOR THE DECISION**

### **DETAILS OF ANY ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS**

- (1) This report seeks Committee permission to carry out a Consultation regarding the transfer of SPFT Shared Lives to the In-House scheme. Creating one Shared Lives scheme
- (2) Analysis and consideration of alternative options will be presented to Committee in a further report, once the Consultation process has taken place and its outcomes included

### **Proper Officer:**

Date: 22 September 2014 Mark Wall, Head of Democratic Services

Signed:

### **CALL-IN FOR SCRUTINY**

- (xv) any requirement for earlier implementation of the decision or,
- (xvi) the decision being called in for review by 5 Members from two or more Groups represented on the Council.